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I. Introduction
For more than 40 years, ECG has been creating customized, implementable solutions to meet our clients’ specific challenges.

ECG has worked with more than 40 of Becker’s Hospital Review’s 100 Great Hospitals in America and more than one-third of U.S. News & World Report’s Best Hospitals.

Our experience and scale are recognized in the industry.

Named a Top 20 largest healthcare management consulting firm by

ECG OFFICES
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I. Introduction
Example Managed Care Clients

EXTENSIVE BREADTH AND DEPTH OF EXPERIENCE NATIONALLY
I. Introduction
Questions

1. How do rate negotiations compare to 3 and 5 years ago? Are they more difficult and/or more complex?

2. Is the market really shifting from FFS to value? How quickly? In what form or fashion?

3. How prevalent are narrow networks? Network tiers? What are the typical concessions and product types?

4. What is the impact on a hospital’s financials when it moves to value?

5. Are providers and payors developing more meaningful partnerships? And if so, in what form or fashion?

6. Are there regional or local market variances in terms of the nature of negotiations, the movement to value, or otherwise?

7. Others?
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The Market Is Shifting to Value-Based Systems

Reimbursement from health plans to hospitals and physicians is shifting to value-based; however, the pace of transition and the payment methodologies are variable.

Healthcare Payment Innovation
Public and Private Sectors

» The federal government and many states have expressed their intent and started the process of establishing programs to distribute a material amount of payments to providers through alternative models.

» Health systems are taking steps to transition from volume- to value-based payment methods.

» The Health Care Transformation Task Force, composed of large U.S. health systems, insurance companies, and employer groups, announced a goal of shifting 75% of its business to performance-based contracts.¹

¹ Modern Healthcare, January 28, 2015.

Government and commercial payors are expanding reimbursement models to include care coordination and management.
Innovative payment models, such as bundled payments are shifting from voluntary to required.

II. Reimbursement Trends

Regulators: Bundled Payments

Medicaid BP Programs
- Arkansas
- New York
- Ohio
- Tennessee

Employer BP Programs

Commercial BP Programs

Innovative payment models, such as bundled payments are shifting from voluntary to required.

Source: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), https://innovation.cms.gov

Medicare Bundled Payments for Care Improvement (BPCI).
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Prevalence of ACOs

ACO growth continues to accelerate as providers seek to position themselves in the market. Recent literature suggests approximately 750 ACOs exist across all 50 states.

**Growth in ACO Formation**

*Number of ACOs From 2011 to 2015*

Histologically, hospitals were the main sponsors of ACOs. More recently, there has been a dramatic increase in physician groups sponsoring ACOs.

**Geographic Distribution of ACOs**

ACOs are now located in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. California leads all states, followed by Florida and Texas.
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FFS to Value

The impact of moving to value on a hospital’s financials is largely dependent on the structure of the arrangement, whether it has transformed the delivery system to effectively manage and coordinate care, and whether it has systems to measure clinical and financial performance.

The Payment and Care Delivery Continuum
Shifting Toward Risk — and Closer Partnerships

Payment Models

| FFS | P4P | PMPM Care Management Payments | Bundled Payments | Total Cost of Care Shared Savings or Risk | Global Payment With Performance Risk and P4P | Global Payment With Financial Risk |

Care Models

| Volume-Based Care Delivery | Management of Episodes of Care | Care Management | Care Coordination | Patient-Centered Medical Home | Population Health |

Provider/Payor Collaboration Models

| Traditional Relationship Without Alignment | Preferred Partner Collaborations With Partial- or Shared-Risk Contracts and Limited Information Sharing; Providers Somewhat Empowered to Improve Quality and Reduce Costs | Integrated Joint Venture Partnerships With Full-Risk Contracts and Shared Intelligence |
As providers increase the amount of risk being shared with payors, further collaboration through innovative alignment models among providers has become a key element in both decreasing costs and improving overall health for a population.

**ISSUE**
- Growing Operating Costs
- Mounting Regulatory Mandates
- Declining Reimbursement
- Changing Payment Models

**SOLUTION**
- Increased collaboration
- Horizontal integration
- Vertical integration
- Increased purchasing power
- Coordinated services
- Cost cuts

Source: AHA 2015 Environmental Scan.
Context: the new ‘Big Three’

By comparison, 4 airlines control over 80% of domestic flights:

- Delta
- United
- American
- Southwest

Consolidation in the insurance segment results in three firms potentially controlling 53% of total premium buys.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Premium</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>UnitedHealth Group Inc.</td>
<td>$115,302,000</td>
<td>20.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Anthem Inc.</td>
<td>$68,389,300</td>
<td>11.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Aetna Inc.</td>
<td>$49,562,000</td>
<td>8.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Humana Inc.</td>
<td>$45,959,000</td>
<td>8.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cigna</td>
<td>$27,214,000</td>
<td>4.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Premiums for Health Insurance</td>
<td>$570,576,663</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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In response to these market factors, we see the following happening: (1) providers and payors becoming one or (2) providers and payors choosing to work together.

**Providers and Payors Becoming One (Vertical Integration)**

- How CHI is building and buying its own insurance plan
  Could purchasing a payor now save money in the future?

- *WSJ*: Why hospitals are launching insurance plans
  20% of hospital leaders intend to market an insurance plan.

- UnitedHealthcare sets aside $5 billion for acquisition of physician practices

**Providers and Payors Working Together (Integration Among Competitors)**

- **Introducing Anthem Blue Cross Vivity (9/17/14)**
  Anthem Blue Cross partners with seven L.A. and Orange County health systems to launch Vivity, a new offering that uniquely aligns care.

- **Aetna and Inova Health System establish new health plan partnership in Northern Virginia (6/22/12)**

- **Independence Blue Cross and DaVita HealthCare Partners announce joint venture (4/8/14)**
  Tandigm Health’s innovative coordinated care model provides higher-quality care, lower costs.

- **New Philadelphia collaboration promotes innovation in healthcare (5/27/15)**
  Eight Philadelphia-area institutions are coming together in an initiative aimed at making the region a global leader in healthcare innovation.

- **UnitedHealthcare expanding telemedicine to reach 20 million members (5/15/15)**

Across the country, 107 health systems now have a majority equity stake in a health plan.
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Two recent studies shed some light on provider-sponsored plans

Health Plan Alliance members manage risk. It is our business. And we are good at it. What is challenging is when we take on risk in a market where uncertainty and variability make it nearly impossible to anticipate with reasonableness the level of risk.

Dennis Bolin, Member Engagement/Chief Marketing Officer, Health Plan Alliance

From a recent study, the analysis yielded 3 primary findings:

• Provider-sponsored plans can be financially successful
• Core line of business can influence profitability with MA and Medicaid-focused plans performing well.
• Scale and tenure can boost profitability with many high performing plans having 100,000 or more members in their core line of business.

Source: “Provider-sponsored health plans: positioned to win the health insurance market shift” Deloitte Development LLC, 04/12/2016

Here are five things to know about provider-led health plans, according to the report.

1. Between 2010 and 2014, the most recent year for which data is available, the number of providers offering health plans has steadily increased from 94 to 106.
2. Enrollment in provider-led plans grew to 15.3 million in 2014, up from 12.4 million in 2010. However, most provider-led health plans remain comparatively small in terms of enrollment. Only five healthcare providers owned plans that covered more than 500,000 lives in 2014.
3. Financial performance of provider-led plans remains mixed. Of the 89 plans analyzed for the report, more than 40 had negative margins in some or all of the past three years.
4. Like other insurance carriers, most provider-led health plans have struggled to achieve profitability in the individual market on the public exchanges.
5. Based on the study’s findings, the authors concluded that although offering a health plan may be an attractive opportunity for some systems, it is not without risk.

McKinsey study as reported in Becker Hospital Review
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Definition

McKinsey defines network breadth by the percentage of hospitals that participate in the network.

- **BROAD**
  - More than 70% of all hospitals in a rating area participate.

- **TIERED**
  - The payor has hospitals in different tiers with varying coinsurance rates.
  - 31% to 70% of hospitals participate.

- **NARROW**
  - 30% or less of hospitals participate.

- **ULTRA-NARROW**
Narrow networks are occurring most frequently in the health insurance exchange market. In 2015, nearly half of the networks for plans were narrow, ultra-narrow, or tiered. Narrow networks are especially common in urban areas.

**Types of Networks for Exchange Plans**  
Nationwide; n=2,864

- 55% Tiered
- 22% Narrow
- 17% Ultra-Narrow
- 6% Broad

**Types of Networks for Exchange Plans**  
Largest City in Each State; n=372

- 38% Tiered
- 34% Narrow
- 7% Ultra-Narrow
- 21% Broad

The average exchange plan network includes 34% fewer providers than the average off-exchange commercial plan.  

In the group market, tiered networks are more common than narrow networks because employers generally need more geographic coverage than individuals.

Percentage of Employers Offering Tiered- or Narrow-Network Health Plans

IV. Narrow Networks
Considerations for Providers

**DON’T ASSUME VOLUME INCREASES**

» Negotiate exclusivity, volume corridors, or shared savings in exchange for narrow network rate concessions.

**OFFER APPROPRIATE RATE CONCESSIONS**

» The right concession will depend on the circumstances.
» 10%–20% is typical.
» Providers may also negotiate more at-risk dollars in lieu of concessions or choose to opt out.

**UNDERSTAND SUBSIDIES**

» Collections may be easier for highly subsidized commercial members (e.g., people recently off Medicaid) than for less subsidized beneficiaries.
» Therefore, larger rate concessions may be appropriate for highly subsidized populations.

**Beware of Reverse-Adverse Selection**

» Healthy people—i.e., those who don’t need much healthcare—tend to choose narrow networks.
» Healthy patient populations can lead to negative revenue adjustments in value-based contracts.

Regionally ranked hospitals are participating in fewer exchange plans, which tend to include narrow networks.
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Answers to Key Questions

1. How do rate negotiations compare to 3 and 5 years ago? Are they more difficult and/or more complex?

2. Is the market really shifting from FFS to value? How quickly? In what form or fashion?

3. How prevalent are narrow networks? Network tiers? What are the typical concessions and product types?

4. What is the impact on a hospital’s financials when it moves to value?

5. Are providers and payors developing more meaningful partnerships? And if so, in what form or fashion?

6. Are there regional or local market variances in terms of the nature of negotiations, the movement to value, or otherwise?

7. Others?
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